Flood Assessment Study in the City of Tangerang, West Java

Acep Hidayat

Mercu Buana University, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract: Tangerang city is a region which has a relatively flat and inclined contour-shaped basin so that in some areas when the rains come will experience flooding. The cause of the flooding is necessary to identify the field. From the results obtained that the identification of flood discharge for the region amounted to 29.91 m³ / s with 1.65 km².Dari watershed area of this discharge can only be accommodated by the existing drainage network is only 5 m³ / sec so that the excess is what makes this region flood. For excess of 24.91 m³ / s is done by creating a polder or ponds so that these advantages can be accommodated. Polder or ponds that can accommodate this excess area of 6.3 hectares with a depth of 4 meters. In addition to planning embung / Polder required also increase drainage infrastructure sehigga can flow smoothly.

Keywords: Flood discharge, Embung / Polder.

I. INTRODUCTION

Flooding is a popular word among Tangerang City Government, particularly in the rainy season. Flooding in the city of Tangerang influenced by several things, including natural factors such as the characteristics of a river with a small river channel capacity, the rising tide of sea water that block the flow of rivers, as well as topography is relatively flat so that about 40% of the area of Tangerang City is a flood plain

II. METHOD

A. Analysis Hydrology :

Land use change from the open area into the area awoke also increase erosion. Erosion material carried along into the water channel, time, and resulted in the silting of reservoirs and constriction.

Based on the above, it would require a treatment program (control) by planning the manufacture of reservoir. The planning of the expected flow of rain water can be optimally accommodated in the reservoir which is in accordance with its capacity.

Determination of maximum precipitation with a specific return period can be calculated using frequency analysis methods. Several well-known methods such as Method Log-Pearson Type III, Haspers, and Gumbel. The method used will be determined by looking at the characteristics of the local area rainfall distribution. Return period will be calculated for each method is repeated for a period of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, and 100 years. As the examples below are presented the calculation method based on Gumbel.

Frequency distribution Gumbel.

Gumbel distribution method is widely used in the analysis of the frequency of rain that has formula

Rt = R + K. Sx

 $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{yt} - \mathbf{yn}) / \mathbf{Sn}.$

International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Research ISSN 2348-7607 (Online) Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (31-35), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

 $Yt = -(0834 + 2303 \log T / T - 1)$

Where:

Rt = Rainfall for year return period T (mm).

R = maximum rainfall average.

Sx = standard deviation.

K = factor frequency.

Sn, Yn = Factor reduction in the average standard deviation as a function of the amount of data.

Debit calculation Flood:

In planning the maximum discharge in a channel which involves hydrological therein, often encountered estimate the flood peak is calculated by a simple and practical method, in which the calculation technique by inserting a factor of rainfall, physical state and nature of the hydraulics flow area. Determination of flood discharge plan, made in accordance with the Procedures for Flood Discharge Calculation Plan, SNI. Determination of flood discharge can be done in two (2) ways, namely Rational Method or Method Unit hydrograph (Synthetic hydrograph).

Metode Rasional:

Procedures rational use of the formula method. Rational use of the formula method procedure is given in the figure below. The following points should be noted:

There are four components of this formula which must be obtained magnitude.

- Look for a unit intensity of the rain, when the intensity in liters / detik.ha, and streams in the area ha, it will obtain the discharge in liters / sec.

- If the intensity in mm / h, then it should be first converted into units of liters / detik.ha

Analysis Calculation:

Resume Calculation Frequency Distribution Analysis

| Periode | Metode | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|------------|------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Ulang | Normal | Log Normal | Log Person Type III | Gumbel | | | |
| 2 | 130.513 | 129.916 | 127.272 | 129.238 | | | |
| 5 | 140.629 | 140.414 | 139.005 | 144.831 | | | |
| 10 | 145.927 | 146.262 | 147.547 | 155.153 | | | |
| 25 | 151.081 | 151.283 | 158.952 | 168.200 | | | |
| 50 | 155.200 | 157.163 | 167.825 | 177.877 | | | |
| 100 | 158.572 | 161.208 | 176.969 | 187.482 | | | |

| Periode | Hujan Rencana | Debit Banjir Rencana (m³/dt) | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Ulang (tahun) | R ₂₄ (mm) | M etode Rasional | Metode Haspers | | |
| 2 | 127.272 | 23.952 | 25.162 | | |
| 5 | 139.005 | 26.160 | 27.481 | | |
| 10 | 147.547 | 27.767 | 29.170 | | |
| 25 | 158.952 | 29.914 | 31.425 | | |
| 50 | 167.825 | 31.584 | 33.179 | | |
| 100 | 176.969 | 33.304 | 34.986 | | |

International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Research ISSN 2348-7607 (Online) Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (31-35), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

B. Analysis Hydraulics:

With the reservoir is expected to be able to drain the primary drainage channel flood discharge of 25 years. Analysis of flood water surface profile in this scenario using the steady.



Flow analysis. Here layout plan

Debit Pondok Arum village will be added to the watershed discharge Cimone. The amount of discharge is added to the primary drainage channel adapted to the capacity of the channel so that the excess will be accommodated by the reservoir.

On this model, the channel capacity is still able to accommodate the additional discharge Cimone watershed of 5 m3 / s, so that the excess flow of 24.91 m3 / s will be accommodated by the reservoir (Q25 DAS Cimone = 29.91 m3 / s).

| Periode T | A (km²) | R ₂₄ (mm) | L (km) | H (km) | с | w (km'jam) | t (jam) | l (mm/jam) | Qt (m²/dt) |
|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| (tahun) | | | 1.1 | | | | (* - *) | Name and A | |
| 2 | 3.790 | 127.272 | 3.430 | 0.010 | 0.70 | 2.168 | 1.582 | 32.502 | 23.952 |
| 5 | 3,790 | 139.005 | 3,430 | 0.010 | 0.70 | 2.168 | 1.582 | 35.498 | 26.160 |
| 10 | 3.790 | 147.547 | 3.430 | 0.010 | 0.70 | 2.168 | 1.582 | 37.679 | 27.767 |
| 25 | 3.790 | 158.952 | 3.430 | 0.010 | 0.70 | 2.168 | 1.582 | 40.592 | 29.914 |
| 50 | 3.790 | 167.825 | 3.430 | 0.010 | 0.70 | 2.168 | 1.582 | 42.858 | 31.584 |
| 100 | 3.790 | 176.969 | 3.430 | 0.010 | 0.70 | 2.168 | 1.582 | 45.193 | 33.304 |

Calculation of flood discharge plan Rational Method

| Periode Ulang (tahun) | Hujan Rencana R ₂₄ (mm) | Luas DAS A Km² | Durasi hujan t (jam) | Distribusi hujan Rn (mm) | Debit tiap Km | a | β | Qt (m²/dt) |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|
| | | | | | (m² /km /dt) | | | |
| 2 | 127.272 | 3.790 | 0.897 | 60.172 | 18.639 | 0.866 | 0.412 | 25.162 |
| 5 | 139.005 | 3.79 | 0.897 | 65.719 | 20.357 | 0.866 | 0.412 | 27.481 |
| 10 | 147.547 | 3.79 | 0.897 | 69.757 | 21.608 | 0.866 | 0.412 | 29.170 |
| 25 | 158.952 | 3.79 | 0.897 | 75.150 | 23.279 | 0.866 | 0.412 | 31.425 |
| 50 | 167.825 | 3.79 | 0.897 | 79.345 | 24.578 | 0.866 | 0.412 | 33.179 |
| 100 | 176.969 | 3.79 | 0.897 | 83.667 | 25.917 | 0.866 | 0.412 | 34,986 |

International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Research ISSN 2348-7607 (Online)

Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (31-35), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

This scenario will be performed on the stages of the design as follows:

a) hydrograph discharge into the reservoir together with scenario 3 amounted to 24.91 m3 / s.

b) Inlet reservoir is next to the spillway and outlet B = 10m water reservoir door dimensions are 0.5x0.5m (BxH) and 4 units of the pump.

c) The depth of the reservoir is 4 m, with consideration of the level of security for areas outside the reservoir is a settlement.

III. CONCLUSION

From the results of modeling of flood water surface profile, it can be concluded:

1. The cause of flooding in Perum Pondok Arum, including:

a. Culverts are not able to accommodate the discharge of a cottage complex Arum and Cimone.

b. Wet cross-sectional area small bridge into a bottle neck stream, causing backwater.

Solution Perum Pondok flooding in Arum:

c. Culverts will not be used again, because the sewer lines will be created ponds.

d. By implementing the solution of flooding on points a - c, complex drainage channel capacity cottage Arum only can stream discharge from watersheds and watershed Cimone cottage Arum for 5 m3 / s. So there are excess flow 24.9 m3 / s that can not be drained by drainage channels.

e. To accommodate the excess discharge of 24.9 m3 / s, it will be planned two scenarios, ie with and without the pump flood pump flood.

2. In this work, time Sabi not go into design planning restrictions so it is not done modeling of flood water surface profile in Kali Sabi. So the flood water level in Kali Sabi (downstream drainage channels) are assumed to be able to accommodate the discharge of a cottage complex Cimone if Arum and watershed planning Sabi normalization has been carried out. Due to the normalization Sabi planning would have take into account the DAS cottage Arum and Cimone.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Fredlund, D. Sheng dan J. Zhao, "Estimation of soil suction from the soil-water characteristic curve," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2011.
- [2] Q. Zhai dan H. Rahardjo, "Determination of soil-water characteristic curve variables," Computers and Geotechnics, p. 37–43, 2012.
- [3] H. Shahir, A. Pak, M. Taiebat dan B. Jeremić, "Evaluation of variation of permeability in liquefiable soil under earthquake loading," Computers and Geotechnics, p. 74–88, 2012.
- [4] T. Gleeson, L. Smith, N. Moosdorf, J. Hartmann, H. H. Dürr, A. H. Manning dan A. M. Jellinek, "Mapping permeability over the surface of the Earth," Geophysical Research Letters, 2011.
- [5] C. Q. LaMarche, J. S. Curtis dan P. T. Metzger, "Permeability of JSC-1A: A lunar soil simulant," Icarus, p. 383– 389, 2011.
- [6] J. H. Li, L. M. Zhang dan X. Li, "Soil-water characteristic curve and permeability function for unsaturated cracked soil," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2011.
- [7] A. Cihan, J. S. Tyner dan E. Perfect, "Predicting relative permeability from water retention: A direct approach based on fractal geometry," Water Resources Research, 2009.
- [8] L. Hopp dan J. J. McDonnell, "(). Connectivity at the hillslope scale: Identifying interactions between storm size, bedrock permeability, slope angle and soil depth," Journal of Hydrology, 2009.
- [9] J. H. Z. Li, L. M., Y. Wang dan D. G. Fredlund, "Permeability tensor and representative elementary volume of saturated cracked soil," Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2009.

International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Research ISSN 2348-7607 (Online) Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (31-35), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

- [10] A. Tuli, J. W. Hopmans, D. E. Rolston dan P. Moldrup, "Comparison of Air and Water Permeability between Disturbed and Undisturbed Soils," Soil Science Society of America Journal, 2005.
- [11] P. Moldrup, S. Yoshikawa, T. Olesen, T. Komatsu dan D. E. Rolston, "Air permeability in undisturbed volcanic ash soils: Predictive model test and soil structure fingerprint," Soil Science Society of America Journal, p. 32–40, 2003.
- [12] D. Rangeard, P. Y. Hicher dan R. Zentar, "Determining soil permeability from pressuremeter tests," International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, p. 1–24, 2003.
- [13] T. Vogel, H. H. Gerke, R. Zhang dan M. T. Van Genuchten, "Modeling flow and transport in a two-dimensional dual-permeability system with spatially variable hydraulic properties," Journal of Hydrology, p. 78–89, 2000.